The Debt Collector at the Center

Karma, Grace, and the Crossing Point

Oscar Gaitan

April 2026

Prelude — The Stone and the Sentence

A woman stands in public collapse. Her accusers hold stones. The moral arithmetic appears complete. An act has occurred. The law specifies consequence. The weight of what was done is ready to return in physical form.

This is the logic of closed systems: the deed circles back upon the doer. The account must be settled. The proportion must be preserved.

Then Christ speaks.

He does not call evil good. He does not deny the act. He does not dissolve moral structure into sentiment. He interrupts the closure of the system.

Neither do I condemn you. Go, and sin no more.

In that single sentence mercy and truth remain undivided. The woman is neither reduced to her act nor excused from reality. She is addressed as a person whose future is not exhausted by her past.

This essay argues that any vision of reality governed only by return, repayment, and exact consequence cannot account for that moment. It can account for the stones. It cannot account for the voice.

I. The Closed System

There is an idea that has been adopted across cultures, traditions, and centuries with a persistence that suggests it answers something deep in the human need for coherence. The idea is this: what you send into the world returns to you. Action produces consequence. The moral weight of what you do circles back and finds you. The universe keeps accounts.

In the Hindu and Buddhist traditions, this is formalized as karma—a system of cause and effect operating across lifetimes, in which the moral quality of an act determines the moral quality of what is returned. In popular Western usage, the word has been softened into a vague sense of cosmic justice: what goes around comes around. In both its rigorous and its casual forms, the claim is the same. The system is closed. Every action has a proportional return. Nothing is lost, nothing is forgiven, nothing is introduced from outside the chain of cause and consequence.

A note of precision is necessary here. The argument that follows concerns karmic systems interpreted as closed moral reciprocity—the principle that the ground of reality operates as an exact mechanism of return. It does not claim to address every sophisticated historical understanding of karma across the rich diversity of Hindu, Buddhist, and Jain traditions, many of which contain internal complexities and qualifications that exceed what popular usage retains. What is being examined is the structural principle, not the full range of its theological elaboration.

The appeal of this principle is understandable. It provides coherence. It provides justice—or at least the promise of it. It provides a framework in which suffering is not meaningless but earned, and in which goodness is not wasted but repaid. It is, in a word, orderly. And the human mind craves order, especially when confronted with the apparent disorder of moral reality—the prosperity of the wicked, the suffering of the innocent, the

unbearable silence of a universe that does not seem to notice the difference.

But the order karma provides comes at a cost that is rarely examined.

The issue is not whether consequences exist, but whether consequence exhausts reality.

In a closed system of moral return, there is no crossing point.

• • •

A crossing point, as this series of essays has argued, is the structural location within the present moment where something genuinely new can be introduced into reality. It is the Now—the only place where agency operates, where the will is actually deciding rather than narrating or projecting. And at the crossing point, the decisive structural feature is not what has been done but what is available now. The past is in the loops—fixed, unreachable, already decided. The future has not yet arrived. Only the Now is genuinely open.

Karma closes the Now.

Not explicitly. Not by denying that the present moment exists. But by determining in advance what the present moment must contain. If every Now is the product of what was sent before, then the Now is not genuinely open. It is the terminus of a chain. It delivers what was earned. It executes what was decided elsewhere—in a previous act, a previous life, a previous position of the will. The present moment becomes a mailbox, not a crossing point. It receives what was sent. It does not introduce what was not.

Augustine of Hippo understood a version of this problem fifteen centuries before systems language existed. His critique of self-salvation is directly relevant: if the human person could heal itself through moral sequence alone—through the accumulated

weight of right action applied across sufficient time—then grace would be unnecessary. The will could simply work its way back to the source through effort. But Augustine saw that the will is wounded. Not occasionally impaired. Wounded at the root. Bent inward—*incurvatus in se*, curved upon itself—by a disorder so deep that the instrument required for repair is the same instrument that is broken. The soul cannot unbend itself by bending harder. The loop cannot redeem itself by another revolution.

In a karmic system, there is no address. No *where are you?* No voice entering the Now that is not the echo of what the person has already done. No gap left for the will to turn toward something it did not generate. No mercy—not because mercy is explicitly denied, but because mercy, by definition, is the presence at the crossing point of something the person did not earn, did not produce, and cannot account for within the closed loop of action and return.

Karma is the loop without a crossing point. And a loop without a crossing point is a system in which nothing genuinely new can happen to you. Only what you have already set in motion.

II. The Debt Collector at the Center

There is a deeper problem, and it is structural rather than sentimental.

If evil is repaid with evil, then the agent of repayment occupies the locus of reality. Whatever mechanism returns the consequence—call it cosmic law, call it the moral order of the universe, call it the wheel—that mechanism sits at the point where all lines converge. It is the ground of what happens next. It is what holds the system together.

But a debt collector is not a ground. A debt collector is a function. It processes what has already been decided and delivers it to its destination. It does not originate anything. It does not create anything. It does not open anything. It simply executes. And a universe whose locus is occupied by an executor of previous transactions is a universe in which the source is structurally empty—populated by a process, not a presence.

This is the theological objection to karma stated in its most precise form: it places the wrong thing at the center of reality.

• • •

The distinction that clarifies everything here is the distinction between justice and mercy. They are not the same operation. They do not perform the same structural work.

Justice returns proportion. Mercy introduces novelty.

Justice measures what was done and delivers the corresponding weight. It is accurate. It is necessary. It is not to be despised. A universe without justice would be a universe without moral structure—a universe in which nothing mattered, because nothing had consequences.

Mercy arrives at the crossing point with something the ledger did not generate and the chain of cause and consequence did not produce. It is not the suspension of justice. It is not the cancellation of proportion. It is the introduction, at the ground, of a response that the closed system cannot account for: something given that was not earned.

Justice operates in the loops. It carries the weight of what was done into the future. Consequences are real. They propagate. They do not disappear because mercy is present at the source.

Mercy operates at the crossing point. It does not undo what justice carries. It opens the Now to a possibility that justice alone cannot produce: the possibility that the person standing at the crossing point is not reducible to the worst thing they have done.

A closed system has justice and only justice. The proportional return is exact and exhaustive. There is nothing else at the ground. And this means that in a closed system, identity is permanently chained to past action. There is no real beginning. There is no forgiveness—not as a sentimental gesture, but as a structural possibility. Hope becomes delayed accounting: perhaps, after sufficient rotations of the wheel, the balance will be restored. But restoration through accumulated rotation is not redemption. It is bookkeeping.

• • •

The Christian claim—and it is a claim, not a feeling—is that what occupies the crossing point of every Now is not a process but a person. *I AM.* The self-sustaining, non-temporal absolute whose name is pure present tense. And the defining characteristic of this presence is not that it balances accounts but that it holds accounts open. The crossing point is not the place where you receive what you deserve. It is the place where you are addressed by someone who knows exactly what you deserve and offers something else.

This is not an argument that consequences do not exist. They exist. David’s child dies. Paul carries a thorn in his flesh. The woman’s history does not vanish when Jesus speaks. Consequences are real and they operate within time. But they do not sit at the source. They are in the loops—in the past that cannot be undone, in the future that carries forward what was done. At the crossing point, at the Now, something else is present.

And that something else is what karma cannot account for.

III. The Wound That Does Not Wait

There is a further difficulty in the logic of moral repayment, and it concerns timing.

Karma often imagines suffering as scheduled repayment—a consequence that arrives later, dispatched by the mechanism of return to find the one who earned it. The act occurs. Time passes. The return arrives. The debt is collected.

But this picture assumes the sinner remains intact until judgment arrives. And many acts against truth wound the agent immediately. The suffering does not wait for a collector. It is already present in the structure of the act itself.

Augustine saw this with a precision that has not been surpassed. Disordered love—the soul *incurvatus in se*, bent upon itself, loving lower goods as ultimate goods—is already punishment in seed form. When the will orients itself away from what it was made for, suffering begins immediately. Not later. Not elsewhere. Not when the wheel turns. Now. The misalignment is the wound. The displacement from the true ground is itself the experience of exile. The soul curved inward does not wait for a collector. It carries the fracture within itself.

Evil is not merely followed by suffering. Evil often *is* suffering—in a form that disguises itself as strength, as control, as theological certainty, as the management of consequence.

• • •

Consider the evidence in the figures this essay examines.

David, after Bathsheba and the murder of Uriah, is not portrayed as triumphant. Before Nathan ever speaks, the collapse has begun. Concealment breeds manipulation. Manipulation breeds escalating violence. The household fractures. The interior corruption propagates outward through every decision David makes

in the aftermath, each one more entangled than the last. By the time Nathan arrives with the parable, David is not a man who has gotten away with something. He is a man whose structure is already burning from the inside. The debt collector arrives late. The debtor is already in ruins.

The woman caught in adultery stands publicly exposed, awaiting death. Whatever her prior history, by the time she is on the ground at Jesus' feet, humiliation is present, terror is present, collapse is present. The crowd holding stones wants to add external punishment to a person who is already experiencing the internal devastation of total exposure. Christ addresses something deeper than the scheduled repayment. He addresses the person beneath the rubble.

Paul, breathing threats and murder, is not a man at peace. The energy required to sustain the persecution—the administrative intensity, the theological self-justification, the systematic violence against people whose only offense is faith—produces its own interior distortion. The voice on the Damascus road does not arrive at a man who is functioning well and interrupts his success. It arrives at a man whose interior architecture is built entirely on a mistake, and who will spend three days in blindness and fasting while that architecture collapses.

• • •

This matters for the argument because it reveals something about the nature of evil that the karmic framework obscures. What the person carries after the act is not merely the anticipation of future consequence. It is the experience of being displaced from the ground—of living, in Augustine's terms, in the restlessness of a heart that has oriented itself away from what it was made for.

A nuance is essential here. Not all suffering is the consequence of wrongdoing. Some suffering is innocent—inflicted by others, arising from tragic contingency, or belonging to the mysterious

economy of purification that the tradition acknowledges without fully explaining. The claim is not that suffering equals punishment. The claim is narrower and more precise: that moral disorder carries its own immediate wound, and that a system premised on later repayment often misses that the repayment has already begun before the collector departs.

The debt collector arrives late. Many souls are already bleeding from the debt itself.

And what they need at the crossing point is not another addition to the ledger. It is someone who can address the wound that the ledger only measures.

IV. David — The Murderer Who Turned

> *I have sinned against the LORD.*
> — 2 Samuel 12:13

By any system of proportional return, David is finished.

He has committed adultery with the wife of one of his most loyal soldiers. When the adultery produces a pregnancy he cannot conceal, he arranges the soldier's death—not in a moment of passion but through a calculated sequence of orders designed to place Uriah at the point of greatest danger and then withdraw the troops around him. This is not a lapse. It is a plan. Adultery compounded by murder, committed by the king who was supposed to embody the justice of God before the people.

In a karmic system, the return is proportional and inevitable. The moral weight of what David has done enters the chain of cause and consequence and comes back to him in kind. He has sent death. Death returns. He has sent betrayal. Betrayal returns. The ledger balances. The wheel turns.

And indeed, consequences do follow. Nathan tells him plainly: the sword shall never depart from your house. The child conceived in adultery dies. The violence David introduced into the royal household propagates through his children—Amnon, Absalom, the chain of grief that unfolds across the rest of his reign. The loops carry the weight of what was done. They always do.

But the loops are not the ground.

• • •

Nathan arrives with a parable. A rich man takes a poor man's only lamb. David's anger is immediate: the man who did this deserves to die. Nathan's reply—*you are the man*—is the divine address. It is structurally identical to *where are you?* A voice

entering the Now. A question that does not close but opens. A gap left for the will to turn.

David's response: *I have sinned against the LORD.*

Six words. No explanation. No distribution of cause. No management of consequence. No appeal to circumstance. He does not say: Bathsheba was bathing where I could see her. He does not say: the loneliness of the throne is unbearable. He does not say: Uriah was a Hittite, and the political calculus required it. He names what happened, attributes it to himself, and turns.

Nathan's immediate response—and this is the moment the karmic system cannot accommodate—is: *the LORD has put away your sin; you shall not die.*

Not: the LORD has noted your repentance and will reduce your sentence. Not: the LORD has initiated a process of compensatory suffering that will, over several lifetimes, restore the balance. The sin is put away. At the crossing point. In the Now. By a mercy that was present before David turned toward it and that his turning did not create.

The consequences remain. The child dies. The sword does not depart. The loops carry what they carry. But at the crossing point—at the only place where the identity of David is genuinely being decided—what he meets is not a debt collector. What he meets is a presence that holds the account open.

Karma says: you are what you did. Mercy says: you are at the crossing point, and what you did is in the loops, and the crossing point is not governed by the loops.

V. Paul — The Persecutor Who Was Addressed

> *Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting Me?*
> — Acts 9:4

Paul is a more difficult case than David, because Paul is not sinning in spite of his convictions. He is sinning because of them.

He is not a man who knows the law and violates it. He is a man who knows the law so well that he has concluded, with the full force of his training and intelligence, that the followers of Jesus of Nazareth must be destroyed. He is breathing threats and murder. He holds the coats at Stephen's stoning. He requests letters of authority to go to Damascus and drag believers back to Jerusalem in chains. His violence is not passion. It is policy. It is theology applied with administrative precision.

In a karmic system, the energy Paul directs against the early Church returns to him as destruction. He has sent persecution. Persecution returns. He has sent death. Death returns. The ledger is clear. The chain of cause and consequence is unbroken. Whatever Paul receives, he has earned.

What Paul receives is a question.

• • •

On the road to Damascus, in the middle of the act—not after a period of reflection, not following a crisis of conscience, not at the end of a long process of interior doubt—a light knocks him to the ground and a voice asks: *why are you persecuting Me?*

This is the divine address in its most structurally aggressive form. With Adam, the question is gentle—*where are you?*—and allows the will to remain hidden if it chooses. With David, the question arrives through a parable that lets the will convict itself before being named. With Paul, the address is direct,

immediate, and physically overwhelming. He is blinded. He is on the ground. The question does not wait for readiness.

And yet the structure is identical. A voice enters the Now. A gap is opened. The will is summoned to the crossing point.

What makes Paul's case devastating to the karmic argument is that he is not merely failing to pursue the good. He is actively destroying the good. He is persecuting the very source of the mercy that is about to address him. The Church he is trying to annihilate is the body of the one who is asking him the question. The energy he is sending into the world is aimed directly at the source—and the source, instead of returning that energy in kind, opens a gap and asks him why.

A debt collector does not ask why. A debt collector delivers. A debt collector does not address the debtor at the moment of the debtor's worst act and offer a question that contains, within its structure, the possibility of a completely different life. A debt collector closes the account. The voice on the Damascus road opens it.

• • •

Paul's response is not, initially, repentance. It is blindness. Three days of darkness in which the system he had built—the theological, moral, institutional system that had organized his entire life and justified his violence—collapses. He does not eat. He does not drink. The loops are dismantled. The parallel structure that had provided continuity, identity, purpose, and certainty is gone. And in that gap—in the three days of empty hands—Ananias is sent to him. Not a judge. Not an executor of consequence. A man who is afraid of Paul, who knows what Paul has done, and who comes anyway because he was told to.

The scales fall from Paul's eyes. He is baptized. He eats. And the man who was breathing threats and murder becomes the one who will write: *where sin increased, grace abounded all the more.*

That sentence is not a theological abstraction. It is autobiography. Paul knows, from the inside, that the ground of reality is not a ledger. Because if it were, he would not be alive to write the letter.

Augustine, who read Paul with more attention than perhaps any other mind in Christian history, understood exactly what this means. Grace is not the reward for a will that has corrected itself. Grace is what arrives at the crossing point to meet a will that cannot correct itself—the will *incurvatus in se*, bent so deeply inward that its own effort only tightens the curve. The will does not climb back to the source by effort. It is found on the road, knocked to the ground, and asked a question it did not anticipate.

VI. The Woman — The Stone That Was Not Thrown

> *Let him who is without sin among you be the first to throw a stone at her.*
> — John 8:7

The woman caught in adultery is the purest test case, because in her story the karmic system is not abstract. It is standing in the room holding rocks.

The law prescribes stoning. The act has been verified—she was caught in the act, not accused on hearsay. The moral weight is established. The proportional consequence is defined. The system is ready to execute. The stones are the loop closing. They are the return arriving. They are karma in its most literal, most physical form: the moral weight of the act, converted into mass and velocity, aimed at the body of the one who acted.

Jesus does not argue that she is innocent. He does not challenge the law. He does not deny that the act occurred or that the prescribed consequence is formally correct within the system that produced it. He does something the system has no category for.

He redirects the loop back onto the executors.

Let him who is without sin cast the first stone.

• • •

This sentence does not operate within the karmic framework. It dismantles it. Because the karmic framework requires a clean executor—an agent who stands outside the chain of cause and consequence and delivers the return without being subject to it. The wheel must have a hub that does not turn. The system must have an operator who is not operated upon.

Jesus reveals that no such operator exists among them. Every hand that holds a stone is a hand attached to a person who is also in the loops. Every accuser is also an actor. Every judge

is also a debtor. The system that is about to close on the woman would, if applied consistently, close on everyone in the room.

They know this. They leave. One by one, beginning with the eldest—those who have lived long enough to know what their own ledger contains.

And then there are two: the woman, and the one person in the room who actually could throw the stone. The only one who is without sin. The only one who stands at the ground without being in the loops. The only legitimate debt collector in the history of the world.

He does not throw it.

• • •

Neither do I condemn you. Go, and sin no more.

This is the source of reality speaking. Not a process. Not a mechanism. Not a function that executes the proportional return. A person. Standing in the Now. Holding the account open. Offering, at the crossing point, what the woman did not earn and the system did not produce and the stones were never going to deliver: the possibility of a life that is not determined by the worst thing she has done.

The command is real—*go, and sin no more*—because mercy is not indifference. The crossing point does not eliminate the moral structure of reality. It interrupts the closure of the moral structure into a system of pure return. The woman is not told that the act does not matter. She is told that the act does not have the last word. That the loop does not reach the ground. That what sits at the crossing point, when she finally looks up from the place where she has been waiting for the impact, is not a stone but a face.

Karma cannot account for this. Not because karma is unintelligent, but because karma is structural, and the

structure it describes has no position for a presence that forgives. Forgiveness is not a karmic category. It is the introduction, at the crossing point, of something the closed system cannot generate from within itself.

VII. The Magdalene — The First Address at the Empty Tomb

> *Woman, why are you weeping? Whom do you seek?*
> — John 20:15

Mary Magdalene is treated here as distinct from the woman caught in adultery, following the dominant contemporary scholarly view, though earlier Christian tradition sometimes identified these figures together.

Mary Magdalene is a different figure. She is not caught in an act. She is not standing at the moment of consequence. She is standing at the moment of total loss.

The tradition records that seven demons were cast out of her. Whatever those demons were—and the text does not elaborate—they represent an interior occupation so complete that the self was not functioning from its own center. She was entirely displaced from the crossing point. Not approaching it asymptotically. Not running a parallel life alongside it. Occupied. The Now was not available to her, not because she was avoiding it but because something else was operating in the space where her will should have been.

Jesus restored her to herself. This is what the casting out of demons structurally means: the return of the person to the crossing point. The clearing of the Now so that the will can once again operate from its own ground.

Within a karmic framework, whatever was taken to have produced the seven demons—through causes attributed to this life or, in some traditions, an earlier existence—would simply continue to operate.

The demons would be the return. The occupation would be the consequence. The proportional mechanism does not include a provision for someone to walk into the system from outside it and clear the space.

Jesus walks in. The space is cleared. The person is returned to herself.

• • •

And then—and this is the detail that matters for the argument—she stays.

She is present at the cross when nearly everyone else has fled. She is present at the tomb on Sunday morning, in the dark, before anyone else arrives. She has come to anoint a body. She expects nothing. The system, as she understands it, has closed. The one who restored her to herself has been killed by the mechanism of worldly power, and the account, by any reasonable calculation, is settled. He gave. The world took. The return was crucifixion.

If karma governed this story, it would end here. The good that Jesus sent into the world was returned with violence. The ledger, from the world's side, is balanced. The prophet is dead. The woman is grieving. The system is closed.

But the tomb is empty.

And the first person addressed at the empty tomb—the first human being to encounter the rupture of the closed system—is not Peter, not John, not any of the twelve who were chosen and named and commissioned. It is the woman from whom seven demons were cast out. The one whose karmic account, by any calculation, should have placed her at the back of every line.

Woman, why are you weeping? Whom do you seek?

The structure is the same as every other divine address in this argument. A question. A gap. An invitation to the crossing point. But this time, what the crossing point opens toward is not merely the possibility of return. It is the fact of Resurrection. The closed system has been broken open—not from

outside, but from inside, by the one who passed through death and came out the other side.

And the first person told to carry this news is the one the system least accounts for.

That is not karma. That is the ground of reality operating as a person who has preferences—who chooses whom to address first, and whose choice is not governed by the ledger but by something the ledger cannot calculate.

VIII. The Argument

Four figures. Four positions at the crossing point. Each one is a refutation of the closed system, and together they form an argument that can be stated plainly.

• • •

Karma claims that the ground of reality is a mechanism of return. What you send comes back. The system is closed. The accounting is exact.

David demonstrates that the ground is not governed by the accounting. He sends murder and adultery into the loops. What he meets at the crossing point is not the proportional return but a presence that holds the account open. The consequences are real—the child dies, the sword remains—but they operate in the loops, not at the source. At the crossing point, mercy is present before the turn, and the turn does not produce it.

Paul demonstrates that the source cannot be destroyed by what is sent against it. He directs the full force of his intelligence and authority against the origin of mercy itself, and the origin of mercy responds not with proportional return but with a question. If karma were the operating principle, Paul would receive exactly what he sent against the Church. Instead, he receives a voice that asks him why—and that question contains, within its structure, the entire possibility of his life.

The woman demonstrates that the closed system requires a clean executor, and no clean executor exists within the system. The stones are the karmic return, formally correct, proportionally exact. Jesus does not deny their formal correctness. He reveals that the hands holding them are also in the loops. The system collapses not because it is wrong but because it requires someone who stands outside it, and the only one who stands outside it chooses not to execute.

The Magdalene demonstrates that the ground of reality has preferences that the ledger cannot predict. The first person addressed at the Resurrection—the most consequential moment in the history of the crossing point—is the woman from whom the most was cast out. Not the most qualified. Not the most deserving by any system of accumulated merit. The one whose history, in a karmic framework, should place her furthest from the source. The source addresses her first.

• • •

The argument is not that consequences do not exist. They exist. They operate in the loops with relentless precision. David's household is torn by violence. Paul carries his thorn. The woman's history does not vanish. The Magdalene's seven demons were real. The loops carry what they carry, and the weight of what was done does not disappear because mercy is present at the ground.

The argument is that the ground is not governed by the loops.

The crossing point—the Now, the only place where the will is genuinely operating—is held open by a presence that is not a mechanism. That presence does not balance accounts. It does not execute returns. It does not deliver the proportional consequence of what was sent. It asks questions. It opens gaps. It waits for the will to turn. And when the will turns, what it finds at the crossing point is not what it earned.

If evil is repaid with evil, then the debt collector sits at the locus of reality. And a debt collector is not a locus. A debt collector is a function—an operator that processes inputs and delivers outputs without originating anything, without creating anything, without addressing anyone. A universe whose ground is occupied by a function is a universe without a face. It is orderly. It is coherent. It is perfectly balanced.

And it is uninhabitable.

Because a person cannot live at a ground that does not see them. Cannot turn toward a presence that is only a process. Cannot receive what a mechanism is structurally incapable of offering.

A mechanism can return what was sent. Only a person can offer what was not.

IX. The Crossing Point

The karmic system is not wrong about everything. It is correct that actions have consequences. It is correct that moral reality is not arbitrary. It is correct that the universe is not indifferent to what is done within it.

Where it is wrong—structurally, irreparably wrong—is in what it places at the center.

A wheel with a hub that merely processes returns is a wheel that turns forever and goes nowhere. Each rotation reproduces the conditions of the previous one. Each turn inherits the weight of the one before it. Each Now is the delivery point for what was sent from a Now that is no longer available.. Each Now is the delivery point for what was sent from a Now that is no longer available. There is no interruption, no address, no crossing point where something genuinely new can enter. The system is closed, and a closed system is, by definition, a system in which redemption is impossible—because redemption requires the introduction of something the system did not generate.

Augustine understood this. The restless heart cannot heal itself by repetition. No accumulation of returns, however exact, can produce rest. Motion within the circle is still motion within the circle. What the soul requires is not another revolution of the wheel, but an arrival from beyond it. Our hearts are restless until they rest in You—and **the You** is not a process. It is not a function. It is not a mechanism of proportional return. **The You is a person.** And the rest that person offers is not earned by exhausting the loop. It is received at the crossing point, by a will that has stopped generating and started turning.

The Christian claim is that the center is not a hub. It is a person. And that person is not processing returns. He is holding accounts open. He is standing at the crossing point of every Now—not as an observer, not as an executor, but as the ground of the very possibility that the will can turn.

He stood at the crossing point when Adam hid and asked: *where are you?*

He stood at the crossing point when Cain deflected and asked: *where is your brother?*

He stood at the crossing point when Nathan spoke and David turned.

He stood on the road to Damascus and asked Paul: *why?*

He stood in the temple courts and told the accusers: *you first.*

He stood at the empty tomb and asked the Magdalene: *whom do you seek?*

He is standing there now.

• • •

A ledger can measure what has been done.

It cannot call a name.

It cannot ask *where are you?*

It cannot wait.

It cannot love.

Only a person can stand at the center of the Now. And the person who stands there is not counting. He is not calculating. He is not balancing.

He is asking.

And He is waiting—with a patience the system cannot calculate and the ledger cannot explain—for the will to turn.

Oscar Gaitan

Part of The Lemniscate of Time Series

References

Augustine of Hippo. *Confessions.* Translated by Henry Chadwick. Oxford University Press, 1991.

Augustine of Hippo. *De Gratia et Libero Arbitrio* (On Grace and Free Will).

Augustine of Hippo. *De Natura et Gratia* (On Nature and Grace).

Martin Luther. *Lectures on Romans.* 1515–1516. (Source of the phrase *incurvatus in se*, drawn from Augustinian theology.)

Thomas Aquinas. *Summa Theologiae.* I-II, qq. 109–114 (Treatise on Grace).

Catechism of the Catholic Church. CCC 633, 637, 1026–1029, 1992–2001.

The Holy Bible. Genesis 3–4; 2 Samuel 11–12; Psalm 51; John 8:1–11; John 20:1–18; Acts 7:58–8:3, 9:1–19; Luke 8:2; Romans 5:20.

Oscar Gaitan. *Does Time Need Me, or Do I Need Time?* April 2026.

Oscar Gaitan. *Where Are You? On Mercy, Will, and the Crossing Point.* April 2026.